UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,459	07/09/2003	Chad A. Ryan	47079-00191	1053
70243 NIXON PEABO	7590 04/24/200 ODY LLP	EXAMINER		
161 N CLARK	_	DEODHAR, OMKAR A		
48TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60601-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/616,459	RYAN, CHAD A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Omkar A. Deodhar	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 M</u>	arch 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
·						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-10 and 32-50</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 3-10 and 32-50</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	n-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Non-Final Rejection**

This is in response to the panel decision to reopen prosecution (April 16, 2008).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cronce, (US Pub. No.: 2003/0188231 A1).

#### Claim 1:

A method of authenticating memory devices' data within a gaming machine while said gaming machine is operating, (Paragraph 7 - Cronce discloses that data is validated or authenticated during execution), said memory

devices' data being authenticated substantially in parallel, (this limitation is disclosed in that the allocation of multiple computing resources is inherent in the process of data authentication), said method of authenticating comprising:

Page 3

reading a next predetermined amount of data from a first memory device storing executable code and graphic data, (Figure 3b – Item 310 discloses reading data from memory), determining if the next predetermined amount of data is executable code or graphic data;

if said next predetermined amount of data is graphic data, then reading a next predetermined amount of data, without authenticating the graphic data;

if said next predetermined amount of data is executable code, then authenticating said

executable code,

(Data is received for processing by a wrapping tool. This tool detects symbols that define the start and end of data blocks to be subject to runtime checksum validation. While Cronce discloses authentication of executable code in a memory device, Cronce does not explicitly state that application or software code contains both executable and graphics code. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include graphics code and executable code together in blocks of code. This allows for the software to be displayed on the screen.

With both executable code and graphics code stored in a memory device, executable code will be subject to verification and graphics code will not be verified. Please also refer to discussion in Paragraph 30); and

wherein the above steps are repeated substantially continuously while said gaming

machine is operating, (Cronce discloses that data blocks are continually scanned during the operation of the application, Paragraph 52.)

Page 4

#### Claim 3:

Cronce discloses that the verification process continues until the data cannot be authenticated, (Figure 9, Items 904 and 905 disclose a flowchart showing that the process continues until completed.)

# Claim 4:

This is inherent in that a file is simply binary coded data.

#### Claim 5:

Various memory devices are disclosed, (Paragraph 66.)

## Claim 6:

Paragraph 30 – Only executable code (sets of exported symbols defined by start and end blocks) are subject to the verification.

#### Claim 7:

The method of claim 1, further comprising:

reading a next second-predetermined amount of data from a second memory device, (Paragraph 66 – Cronce discloses a plurality of memory devices to which data may be written);

and determining whether said next-second predetermined amount of data is authentic; repeating said reading said next second-predetermined amount of data from the second memory device step and said determining whether said next second-predetermined amount of data steps continuously while said gaming machine is operating, wherein

Art Unit: 3714

said reading said next predetermined amount of data step and said reading said next second-predetermined amount of data step are is performed substantially in parallel, (Please refer again to Figure 9 – Items 904 and 905, where Cronce discloses that blocks of data are repeatedly verified. This verification takes place in parallel, in that the verification process takes place continually and consumes multiple resources.)

#### Claim 8:

Cronce discloses the limitations of Claim 8 as presented above, and additionally:

The usage of cryptographic hash functions such as MD5, (Message-Digest Algorithm 5,

Paragraph 20).

### Claim 9:

Cronce discloses continuous verification during execution, (Paragraph 7.)

#### Claim 10:

The predetermined amount of time is determinable by the programmer.

Claims 32-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cronce, (US Pub. No.: 2003/0188231 A1) in view of Zhao (US 6,487,301). Claims 32, 40, 47 and 48:

Cronce discloses that a programmer may customize the verification process, (Paragraph 70). This is interpreted as disclosing that a programmer is able to designate that the verification process takes place during the boot-up process.

Additionally, Cronce discloses execution of verification routes based on combinations of events that occur with sufficient frequency to ensure reliable detection, (Paragraph 42.)

Cronce discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but is silent regarding the verification of graphics data. Zhao discloses the authentication of graphics data, (Abstract & Figures 2-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to authenticate graphics data for the purpose of preventing a person from copying a digital representation without degradation, manipulating the graphics data and redistributing the graphics data without authorization from the owner. Additionally, one would be motivated to authenticate graphics data to prevent piracy. One would have generated the claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of commercial success.

#### Claim 33:

Cronce discloses predetermined conditions such as receiving data, (Figure 3b.)

#### Claim 34-36:

Cronce discloses data in the form of files, (binary coded data), and data files with verification codes and digital signatures, (Paragraph 20).

### Claim 37:

Various memory devices are disclosed, (Paragraph 66.)

### Claim 38:

Figure 9 discloses reading blocks of data prior to various determining steps, (as disclosed with respect to claim 1).

#### Claim 39:

Cronce discloses cryptographic hash functions such as MD5, (Message-Digest Algorithm 5, Paragraph 20).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,459 Page 7

Art Unit: 3714

Claim 41-46:

Please refer to the rejections of claims 33-39 regarding limitations of predetermined intervals, volatile memory devices, hash functions and verification codes with digital

signatures.

**Claims 49 and 50:** 

Please refer to the rejections of claims 34-36 regarding limitations of verification codes

and digital signatures.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new

grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Omkar A. Deodhar whose telephone number is 571-

272-1647. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,459 Page 8

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Corbett Coburn/ Primary Examiner AU 3714